#Xm

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y. JUNE 2012	JURY NOTE #11 -6/5/2012
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	BROOKLYN OFFICE	
-against-	VERDI	CT SHEET
JAMES ROSEMOND,		124 (S-4) (JG)
Defendant. X		
Count One (Engaging in a Continuing Crimin	al Enterprise)	
Verdict on Count One:		
Guilty Not Guil	ty	
Answer the following question only i	f you have found the defend	lant guilty.
Indicate below which violations, if any reasonable doubt were part of a continu	· •	nd beyond a
Violation One (Conspiracy to Distribute Proven N	te Cocaine – January 2007–Ju Not Proven	une 2011)
Violation Two (Attempted Possession 2009)	of Cocaine With Intent to Di	istribute – May 29,
· / /	Not Proven	
Violation Three (Attempted Possession 25, 2009)	of Cocaine With Intent to I	Distribute – August
, ·	Not Proven	
Violation Four (Distribution of Cocain Proven N	e – April 27, 2010–April 28, Not Proven	2010)
Violation Five (Distribution of Cocaine Proven N	e – November 17, 2010–Dece Not Proven	ember 29, 2010)
Violation Six (Distribution of Cocaine Proven N	– May 11, 2011) Not Proven	

Violation Seven (Distribut	tion of Cocaine – June 2, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Eight (Distributi	ion of Cocaine – July 10, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Nine (Distribution	on of Cocaine – July 15, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Ten (Distributio	on of Cocaine – July 16, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Eleven (Distribu	ution of Cocaine – July 21, 2009)
Proven	
Violation Twelve (Distrib	ution of Cocaine – July 22, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Thirteen (Distri	bution of Cocaine – July 28, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Fourteen (Distri	bution of Cocaine – July 31, 2009)
	Not Proven
Violation Fifteen (Distrib	ution of Cocaine – August 14, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Sixteen (Distrib	oution of Cocaine – August 18, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
	tribution of Cocaine – August 20, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Eighteen Distri	ibution of Cocaine - August 25, 2009)
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Nineteen (Distr	ibution of Cocaine - May 21, 2010)
Proven	Not Proven
	oution of Cocaine – July 2, 2010)
Proven	Not Proven
	Distribution of Cocaine – July 27, 2010)
Proven	Not Proven

	Proven			- August 23, 2010)
Violatio	on Twenty-Thr Proven	ee (Distributio —	n of Cocaine Not Proven	e – September 7, 2010)
Violatio	on Twenty-Fou Proven	r (Distributior —	of Cocaine - Not Proven	– September 22, 2010)
Violatio	on Twenty-Five Proven	e (Distribution —	of Cocaine Not Proven	- October 9, 2010)
Answei	the following	questions on	ly if you hav	ve found the defendant guilty.
million	or more in gro tion of cocaine	ss receipts dur ?		doubt that the enterprise received \$10 one 12-month period from the
	Yes _		No	
	government pograms or more		a reasonable	doubt that the enterprise trafficked in
	Yes _	_	No	
Count Two (Conspiracy to Distribute Cocaine – January 2007–June 2011)				
Note th	at this is the s	ame offense o	charged as "V	Violation One" in Count One
Guilty		Not G	uilty	_
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty.				
	government p		a reasonable	doubt that the offense involved five
	Yes	No		

Count Three (Attempted Possession of Cocaine With Intent to Distribute - May 29, 2009)			
Note that this is the same offense charged as "Violation Two" in Count One			
Guilty Not Guilty			
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty.			
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?			
Yes No			
Count Four (Attempted Possession of Cocaine With Intent to Distribute - August 25, 2009)			
Note that this is the same offense charged as "Violation Three" in Count One			
Guilty Not Guilty			
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty.			
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?			
Yes No			
Count Five (Distribution of Cocaine - April 28, 2010)			
Note that this is the same offense charged as "Violation Four" in Count One			
Guilty Not Guilty			
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty.			
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?			
Yes No			

Count Six (Distribution of Cocaine	- November 17, 2010-December 29, 2010)
Note that this is the same offense c	harged as "Violation Five" in Count One
Guilty	Not Guilty
Answer the following quest	ion only if you have found the defendant guilty.
Has the government proved by kilograms or more of cocained	beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five
Yes	No
Count Seven (Distribution of Cocain	ne – May 11, 2011)
Note that this is the same offense of	charged as "Violation Six" in Count One
Guilty	Not Guilty
Answer the following quest	ion only if you have found the defendant guilty.
grams or more of cocaine?	beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved 500
Yes	No
Count Eight (Possession of Firearm	in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking)
Note: Only consider this ch more of the charges in Cou	narge if you have found the defendant guilty of one or nts One through Seven Not Guilty
Guilty	Not Guilty
Count Nine (Felon in Possession of	Firearm)
Guilty	Not Guilty
Count Ten (Money Laundering Con	nspiracy)
Guilty	Not Guilty

Count Eleven (Unlawrul Monetary	Transaction Over \$10,000)	
Guilty	Not Guilty	
Count Twelve (Structuring Financia	al Transactions)	
Guilty	Not Guilty	
Count Thirteen (Obstruction of Justice)		
Guilty	Not Guilty	
Dated: Brooklyn, New York June, 2012	Toreperson	